## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/IB2004/003224 04.10.2004 03.10.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B62J1/26 **Applicant** SELLE ROYAL SPA 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer** 

Feber, L

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2932

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003224

_					
_	Во	x N	lo. I	Basis of the opinion	
1.	Withe	th re	egard Iguag	I to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the property of the international application in the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application is	n
		Iai	ngua	oinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the follow ge , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	ving
2.	Wit	th re cess	egard sary t	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a. t	ype	of m	paterial:	
			a se	equence listing	Available Copy
			table	e(s) related to the sequence listing	브
	b. f	orm	at of	material:	Q
			in w	ritten format	8
			in co	omputer readable form	0
	c. t	ime	of fili	ing/furnishing:	용
	1		cont	tained in the international application as filed.	<b>&lt;</b>
	-		filed	together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	I		furni	ished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		co	s bee pies i	ion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating the en filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as riate, were furnished.	reto
4	Ada	titio	nal a	ommente:	

Œ
$\Box$
25
<b>-</b>
<
<u> </u>
$\overline{}$
$\sigma$
<b>—</b>
Œ
-
<b>\'</b>
O
77

_	Во	x No. II	Priority							
1.	1. ☑ The following document has not been furnished:									
		⋈	copy of the earlier	applicatio	n whose p	riority has beer	claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 66.7(a)).			
			translation of the e	arlier app	lication wh	ose priority has	been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7)	(b)).		
		Consecutive neverth	quently it has not be neless been establis	een possit shed on th	ole to consi ne assumpt	ider the validity tion that the rel	of the priority claim. This opinion has evant date is the claimed priority date.			
2.		has be	oinion has been est en found invalid (Ri ate indicated above	ules 43 <i>bis</i>	.1 and 64.1	<ol> <li>Thus for the</li> </ol>	laimed due to the fact that the priority of purposes of this opinion, the internation te.	claim onal		
3.		It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority docume was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
4.	Add	ditional o	bservations, if nece	essary:						
	_	x No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43	bis.1(a)(i) with	n regard to novelty, inventive step o			
			pplicability; citati	ons and e	explanatio	ns supporting	such statement	r		
1.	ind		pplicability; citati	ons and e	explanatio	ns supporting	such statement	r		
1.	ind Sta	ustrial a	pplicability; citati	ons and e	explanatio  Claims  Claims	ns supporting	such statement			
1.	Sta Nov	ustrial a	ipplicability; citati	Yes: No:	Claims		such statement	best Available		

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## Re Item V.

- 1.1 The present application relates to a viscoelastic support structure comprising a gel insert.
- 1.2 The objective technical problem is to improve the damping properties of such a structure.
- 1.3 Claim 1 proposes a gel insert interposed between a covering and a frame and interacting with said frame.
- 1.4 The available prior art documents do not suggest such a solution. In particular, in documents US-B-6290794 or US-A-6131994, the gel insert does not interact with the frame but with another insert located between the frame and the gel insert.
- 1.5 The subject-matter of claim 1 does therefore meet the requirements of novelty and inventive step of Article 33.2 and 33.3 PCT.
- Claims 2-20 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.